

# ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300  
Adelaide 5067  
Australia  
Mob: 61+401692057  
Email: [info@adelaideinstitute.org](mailto:info@adelaideinstitute.org)  
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online  
ISSN 1440-9828



March 2013 No 680

## THINK ON THESE THINGS WHAT AILES THE WESTERN DEMOCRACIES?

**Is the following legal event an example of decadence that will engulf us in our UNTERGANG?  
Just asking a question about this particular attack on the institution of marriage!**

\*

### **Wife makes \$54m divorce claim for lavish lifestyle**

Katie Bice, *Herald Sun*, February 24, 2013 9:59PM

**A MELBOURNE woman asked for a \$54 million divorce settlement to cover expenses including cosmetic surgery, a holiday house and a dog.**

The wife, in her 50s, created the wish list with the help of an actuary who calculated how much money she would need for the rest of her life.

It was claimed the woman would need \$4.9 million to maintain her lifestyle, with \$780,000 to cover jewellery, clothing and cosmetic surgery, and \$1.4 million to upgrade TVs, coffee tables, cutlery and other furniture in her home.

The claim also included money to cover an extra adult travelling on holiday in case she needed a nanny or personal trainer and a dog and mobile dog-washing service for the pet they had promised their children.

But the woman's businessman husband - who has amassed a fortune of \$435 million - claimed she was being unreasonable and wanted to give her only \$6.3 million.

The husband, in his 60s, argued her slice of their wealth should be reduced because in the last years of their relationship, she drank until she passed out. The Family Court heard the pair had two children but also cared for the husband's children from a previous marriage.

The court heard these children were "wild" and had benefited from the wife's strict discipline. But the household began to fall apart when the wife began drinking to excess and, the husband claimed, subjecting him to angry outbursts.

The court heard that on the day they separated in 2008, the wife was escorted from the family home by

police after being handed \$1000 in cash by the husband and told he had organised a serviced apartment for her and the children for two nights.

Between February and May, 2008, she spent \$300,000 on herself and the children and \$70,000 to furnish her new home before the husband withdrew her name from joint accounts and cancelled a credit card.

Justice Paul Cronin said the pair had enjoyed a luxurious standard of living and their wealth had created expectations.

But he said the wife's monetary claim was based on "sheer speculation. I see no reason why the wife should not have the benefit of a standard of living judged by the benchmarks set by the husband during the marriage," Justice Cronin said. An adjustment against the husband will have little impact on his wealth. Conversely, the wife will have sufficient wealth to live a very comfortable lifestyle."

Justice Cronin ordered the wife be given \$20 million, plus \$750 a week in child support. He allowed her to keep the \$4.8 million in assets she already had.

[katie.bice@news.com.au](mailto:katie.bice@news.com.au)

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/victoria/wife-makes-54m-divorce-claim-for-lavish-lifestyle/story-e6frf7kx-1226584597435>

'...even the top brand names in comedy are struggling to figure out what's actually offensive nowadays.'

\*\*\*

**When jokes go too far**

**Remember what Molly Ivins said: 'Satire is traditionally the weapon of the powerless against the powerful. I only aim at the powerful'- Host Seth MacFarlane at the 85th annual Academy Awards - February 24, 2013.**

**By: Chris Jones, Theater critic, 12:31 p.m. CST, March 1, 2013**

Oscar hosts are supposed to be irreverent and edgy, otherwise they are instantly accused of boring us silly. So Seth MacFarlane must have been surprised by the rolling backlash from his performance at last week's Academy Awards.

In a gathering storm of protest in forums from *The Atlantic* to the *Los Angeles Times*, the first-time Oscar frontman was variously accused of anti-Semitism (for a routine exploiting the old canard that Jews own Hollywood), sexism (for his opening number involving actresses who have revealed their breasts to the cameras) and racism (including for pretending to mix up two African-American actors for comic effect). By the end of the week, the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences was being pressed to disavow the performance of its own host, although it put out a statement defending him.

MacFarlane was not alone in being on the defensive. Steve Hannah, the Chicago-based CEO of The Onion — whose franchise is supposed to be so irreverent and edgy as to make Oscar hosts look like wimps — apologized Monday for what he acknowledged was a tasteless tweet that involved a four-letter word applied to lively 9-year-old Oscar nominee Quvenzhane Wallis.

The anonymous Onion offender was chopped up — an irony that did not escape some media observers who noted The Onion's in-house tweeter's very tricky job description: Be as offensive as you can until someone actually finds you offensive.

Even Jon Stewart, who often out-Onions The Onion, issued an apology last week — for making fun of Dick Molpus, a Mississippi politician with a record of fighting for civil rights who just happened to be stuck with a funny name that suggested otherwise ... to New Yorkers, anyway.

Stewart was as concerned with accuracy as with offense, but all of this would suggest that even the top brand names in comedy are struggling to figure out what's actually offensive nowadays.

After all, eight shows a week in New York and Chicago (and soon in London), "The Book of Mormon" has jokes that lampoon a storied American religion and the naivete of people of faith, and that brings up such taboo topics as African tribal traditions, rape, even children with AIDS. There has been no discernible backlash there, just huge profits. So why do the "South Park" boys get a pass as MacFarlane takes it on the chin?

There's no way to do justice to this issue without first observing that today's social media landscape, where the instant analysis of events like the Oscars is now widely consumed along with the event itself, offers many more opportunities for people to say they were offended. People have to tweet something. Outrage usually gets attention. Hence, outrage aplenty.

So stipulated. But what did MacFarlane do wrong? They've been discussing that in the comedy studies program at Columbia College. One useful text under discussion is the Gospel According to Molly Ivins, the late newspaper columnist and no shrinking violet when it came to poking fun: "Satire is traditionally the weapon of the powerless against the powerful. I only aim at the powerful."

That's the start of what The Onion did wrong: A 9-year-old, even an Oscar nominee, has insufficient power. When that notorious tweet landed in our feeds, it engendered the feeling of leaping to the girl's defense, which killed off whatever humor might have been intended.

That's also a problem with hosting the Oscars — you end up with too much palpable power to operate as

a satirist. Especially if you have the kind of glib confidence that comes easily to the seemingly invulnerable MacFarlane.

If you sat in some smoky club watching George Carlin rant about the jerks who run the country, it was cathartic precisely because of the implied inability of the fevered but clearly impotent monologuist to change anything, or land any kind of meaningful blow on the aforementioned leaders.

But at the Academy Awards, even the most naive viewer perceives that the honorees are trapped by contract and convention, forced to smile and grit their teeth as some callow youth-of-the-ratings-moment reduces their entire artistic careers to a juvenile catalog of naked body parts.

It's hard to turn pampered celebrities into sympathetic figures, but last Sunday's Academy Awards did a pretty fine job. And that's a large part of why MacFarlane's performance wasn't funny.

Then there's the question of building a relationship.

"The Book of Mormon" has an entire evening to forge characters and an alternate narrative that is, in its way, quite charming. Second City, which once poked fun at Superman in a wheelchair, also has mastered this imperative. So, when it is writing satirical stories rather than merely tweeting (a whole other skill), has The Onion.

In those great Onion articles, the outrageous gags are, as they say in the trade, well protected by a clear and credible worldview.

It's all a bit like the sweet-and-sour chicken at Panda Express: The comforting sugars of vulnerability make the odd bite of outre spice all the tastier.

MacFarlane, who is neither Jewish nor African-American, nor does he have breasts, had no grasp whatsoever of that truth, even though it can be applied to the

simplest stand-up routine. There was no storytelling in his material, no point of view, no personal precariousness — just a series of isolated satirical jabs, which, when you're the one with the big role, inevitably come off as smug, cheap, easy or cruel.

You can imagine the confused Oscar producers sitting around arguing about what they should do next year. Some facsimile of Billy Crystal offering soft volleys to the likes of Jack Nicholson? Or a risk-taker like MacFarlane?

They will surely have noted that the ratings for the Academy Awards broadcast were up; but someone will say that was more to do with the movies than the host.

Maybe it's generational, someone else will say; yet, it will be pointed

out, there were plenty of young women who went after MacFarlane for that notorious "boobs" song.

Perhaps the most useful criticism of MacFarlane's performance is to note its lack of generosity.

On the afternoon of the Academy Awards, the Black Ensemble Theater in Chicago opened a show called "From Doo Wop to Hip Hop." Part of the comedy in the piece involved the old joke of sticking a middle-age white guy in a mostly African-American cast, playing to a mostly African-American audience, and asking him to be a rapper.

But Jackie Taylor and Rueben Echoles, the creators of this show, understood what MacFarlane did not: The bigger joke comes when the mark thrives, prospers, succeeds.

That afternoon, you could chart the anatomy of the laugh: surprise, skepticism, cruelty, absurdity, acceptance, self-criticism.

These things are ratings gold: Just look at what Susan Boyle, who had just such a moment, did for "Britain's Got Talent" and, later, American audiences. That's why "The Book of Mormon" is the hottest Broadway musical in the world, and why all great hosts and comedians understand that, first and foremost, the joke must be on them.

[cjones5@tribune.com](mailto:cjones5@tribune.com) Twitter

@chrисjonestrib

Copyright © 2013 Chicago Tribune Company, LLC

<http://www.chicagotribune.com/entertainment/theater/theaterloop/ct-ae-0303-jones-20130301,0,3154772.column>

**\*The story keeps on changing ....just ask for evidence of the homicidal gas chamber's existence and don't waste time with rubbish like this...\***

## Holocaust Deny No More:

### Six Times More Nazi Camps, Ghettos Found, Shocks Researchers

Saturday, March 2, 2013 11:03

Denying the Nazi Holocaust of Jews became more difficult this week after researchers released a report that they cataloged a shocking six times more Nazi camps and ghettos than expected in a 13-year study, documenting 42500 Nazi ghettos, slave labor sites, concentration camps and killing factories throughout Europe.

The now documented [42,500 Nazi ghettos and camps existed throughout Europe](#), far too many for Germans to not have known, as they claimed during the Nuremberg trials, and far too many for today's Holocaust Deniers to refute.

"Holocaust deniers ignore the overwhelming [evidence](#) of the event and insist that the Holocaust is a myth, invented by the Allies, the Soviet communists, and the Jews for their own ends," explains the Holocaust Museum Encyclopedia. "According to the deniers' 'logic,' the Allies needed the 'Holocaust myth' to justify their occupation of

Germany in 1945 and the 'harsh' persecution of Nazi [defendants](#)."

Nazi camps and ghettos spanned German-controlled areas from France to Russia and [Germany](#) itself, during [Hitler](#)'s reign of brutality from 1933 to 1945, according to the researchers at the United States [Holocaust Memorial Museum](#).

"The figure is so staggering that even fellow Holocaust scholars had to make sure they had heard it correctly when the lead researchers previewed their findings at an academic forum in late January at the German Historical Institute in Washington." Writes Eric Lichtblau for the *New York Times* reports.

[Hartmut Berghoff](#), director of the institute, said in an interview after learning of the new data, "The numbers are so much higher than what we originally thought."

The researchers documented all the ghettos, slave labor sites, concentration camps and killing factories that Nazis established

throughout Europe. According to the *New York Times*, the documented camps in the research include:

- \* "Killing centers"
- \* Thousands of forced labor camps, where prisoners manufactured war supplies
- \* Prisoner-of-war camps
- \* Sites euphemistically named "care" centers, where pregnant women were forced to have abortions or their babies were killed after birth, and
- \* Brothels, where women were coerced into sex with German military personnel.

Holocaust deniers claim that Jews needed the "Holocaust myth" to extract huge payments in restitution from Germany and to justify the establishment of the State of Israel, according to the Holocaust Museum.

"Holocaust deniers claim that there is a vast conspiracy involving the victorious powers of World War II, Jews, and Israel to propagate the

Holocaust for their own ends." With the new research report, the deniers' claims just became more odious.

<http://beforeitsnews.com/international/2013/03/holocaust-denies-no-more-six-times-more-nazi-camps->

[ghettos-found-shocks-researchers-2453010.html](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

## News Analysis

# The Holocaust Just Got More Shocking

By ERIC LICHTBLAU, March 1, 2013

THIRTEEN years ago, researchers at the United States [Holocaust Memorial Museum](#) began the grim task of documenting all the ghettos, slave labor sites, concentration camps and killing factories that the Nazis set up throughout Europe. What they have found so far has shocked even scholars steeped in the history of the Holocaust.

The researchers have cataloged some 42,500 Nazi ghettos and camps throughout Europe, spanning German-controlled areas from France to Russia and [Germany](#) itself, during [Hitler's](#) reign of brutality from 1933 to 1945.

The figure is so staggering that even fellow Holocaust scholars had to make sure they had heard it correctly when the lead researchers previewed their findings at an academic forum in late January at the German Historical Institute in Washington.

"The numbers are so much higher than what we originally thought," [Hartmut Berghoff](#), director of the institute, said in an interview after learning of the new data.

"We knew before how horrible life in the camps and ghettos was," he said, "but the numbers are unbelievable."

The documented camps include not only "killing centers" but also thousands of forced labor camps, where prisoners manufactured war supplies; prisoner-of-war camps; sites euphemistically named "care" centers, where pregnant women were forced to have abortions or their babies were killed after birth; and brothels, where women were coerced into having sex with German military personnel.

Auschwitz and a handful of other concentration camps have come to symbolize the Nazi killing machine in the public consciousness.

Likewise, the Nazi system for imprisoning Jewish families in hometown ghettos has become associated with a single site — the Warsaw Ghetto, famous for the 1943 uprising. But these sites, infamous though they are, represent only a minuscule fraction of the entire German network, the new research makes painfully clear. The maps the researchers have created to identify the camps and ghettos turn wide sections of wartime Europe into black clusters of death, torture and slavery — centered in Germany and [Poland](#), but reaching in all directions.

The lead editors on the project, [Geoffrey Megargee](#) and [Martin Dean](#), estimate that 15 million to 20 million people died or were imprisoned in the sites that they have identified as part of a [multivolume encyclopedia](#). (The Holocaust museum has published the first two, with five more planned by 2025.)

The existence of many individual camps and ghettos was previously known only on a fragmented, region-by-region basis. But the researchers, using data from some 400 contributors, have been documenting the entire scale for the first time, studying where they were located, how they were run, and what their purpose was.

The brutal experience of Henry Greenbaum, an 84-year-old Holocaust survivor who lives outside Washington, typifies the wide range of Nazi sites.

When Mr. Greenbaum, a volunteer at the Holocaust museum, tells visitors today about his wartime odyssey, listeners inevitably focus on his confinement of months at Auschwitz, the most notorious of all the camps.

But the images of the other camps where the Nazis imprisoned him are ingrained in his memory as deeply as the concentration camp number — A188991 — tattooed on his left forearm.

In an interview, he ticked off the locations in rapid fire, the details still vivid.

First came the Starachowice ghetto in his hometown in Poland, where the Germans herded his family and other local Jews in 1940, when he was just 12.

Next came a slave labor camp with six-foot-high fences outside the town, where he and a sister were moved while the rest of the family was sent to die at Treblinka. After his regular work shift at a factory, the Germans would force him and other prisoners to dig trenches that were used for dumping the bodies of victims. He was sent to Auschwitz, then removed to work at a chemical manufacturing plant in Poland known as Buna Monowitz, where he and some 50 other prisoners who had been held at the main camp at Auschwitz were taken to manufacture rubber and synthetic oil. And last was another slave labor camp at Flossenbürg, near the Czech border, where food was so scarce that the weight on his 5-foot-8-inch frame fell away to less than 100 pounds.

By the age of 17, Mr. Greenbaum had been enslaved in five camps in five years, and was on his way to a sixth, when American soldiers freed him in 1945. "Nobody even knows about these places," Mr. Greenbaum said. "Everything should be documented. That's very important. We try to tell the youngsters so that they know, and they'll remember."

The research could have legal implications as well by helping a

small number of survivors document their continuing claims over unpaid insurance policies, looted property, seized land and other financial matters.

"HOW many claims have been rejected because the victims were in a camp that we didn't even know about?" asked Sam Dubbin, a Florida lawyer who represents a group of survivors who are seeking to bring claims against European insurance companies.

Dr. Megargee, the lead researcher, said the project was changing the understanding among Holocaust scholars of how the camps and ghettos evolved.

As early as 1933, at the start of Hitler's reign, the Third Reich established about 110 camps specifically designed to imprison some 10,000 political opponents and others, the researchers found. As Germany invaded and began occupying European neighbors, the use of camps and ghettos was expanded to confine and sometimes kill not only Jews but also homosexuals, Gypsies, Poles, Russians and many other ethnic groups in Eastern Europe. The camps and ghettos varied enormously in their mission, organization and size, depending on

the Nazis' needs, the researchers have found.

The biggest site identified is the infamous Warsaw Ghetto, which held about 500,000 people at its height. But as few as a dozen prisoners worked at one of the smallest camps, the München-Schwabing site in Germany. Small groups of prisoners were sent there from the Dachau concentration camp under armed guard. They were reportedly whipped and ordered to do manual labor at the home of a fervent Nazi patron known as "Sister Pia," cleaning her house, tending her garden and even building children's toys for her.

When the research began in 2000, Dr. Megargee said he expected to find perhaps 7,000 Nazi camps and ghettos, based on postwar estimates. But the numbers kept climbing — first to 11,500, then 20,000, then 30,000, and now 42,500.

The numbers astound: 30,000 slave labor camps; 1,150 Jewish ghettos; 980 concentration camps; 1,000 prisoner-of-war camps; 500 brothels filled with sex slaves; and thousands of other camps used for euthanizing the elderly and infirm, performing forced abortions, "Germanizing" prisoners or

transporting victims to killing centers.

In Berlin alone, researchers have documented some 3,000 camps and so-called Jew houses, while Hamburg held 1,300 sites.

Dr. Dean, a co-researcher, said the findings left no doubt in his mind that many German citizens, despite the frequent claims of ignorance after the war, must have known about the widespread existence of the Nazi camps at the time.

"You literally could not go anywhere in Germany without running into forced labor camps, P.O.W. camps, concentration camps," he said. "They were everywhere."

**Eric Lichtblau [German = light & blue] is a reporter for *The New York Times* in Washington and a visiting fellow at the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum.**

\*

#### Multimedia

**Map: [Ghettos for Jews in Eastern Europe](#)**

**Map: [SS Concentration Camps](#)**  
<http://www.nytimes.com/2013/03/03/sunday-review/the-holocaustjustgotmoreshocking.html?pagewanted=all&r=4&>

## Word games won't fool us

**By: Andrew Bolt, [Herald Sun](#), March 04, 2013 10:23AM**

A VERBAL trick is being played by activists and journalists trying to fool Australians into backing same-sex marriage. See if you can pick the word game that's blinding people to what's really at stake.

Here is Leigh Sales, host of the ABC's 7.30, last month: "The House of Commons voted overwhelmingly for marriage equality."

Here is Finance Minister Penny Wong: "It is an undeniably ugly vein that runs deep in some of the arguments against marriage equality." Spotted the trick yet? The one that's just been called out by a surprisingly unimpressed Federal Court judge?

**More clues.**

Note the name of the organisation leading the fight for same-sex marriage: Australian Marriage Equality.

Note Greens MP Adam Bandt in Parliament: "It is with great pride that I present the Marriage Equality Amendment Bill."

Yes, that word trick is to pretend that what same-sex marriage activists want is equality. To give gay people the same marriage rights as straight people.

They don't even know that at the Victorian Equal Opportunity and Human Rights Commission.

One of its board members, Professor Kuruvilla George, was forced to resign last year after

signing a petition against same-sex marriage. His views were seen as putting him in conflict with the commission's charter to work for "equal opportunity".

But same-sex activists don't want marriage equality -- because they already have it.

A gay man is as free as a straight man to marry a woman. A lesbian is as free as any other woman to marry a man. That's equality.

What same-sex marriage activists want is very different -- a new freedom to marry someone of the same sex. What they want isn't marriage at all, since marriage is the union of a man with a woman, excluding all others.

And if we are to change what marriage means, we have a duty to consider not just the good such a huge change to such a critical social bond could bring, but the evil, too. My argument has often been howled down as the sophistry of a wicked conservative. But it seems something similar is also the legal opinion of Jane Jagot, a young judge of the Federal Court.

In a virtually unreported decision last month, Justice Jagot rejected a claim by prominent gay activist Simon Margan that state laws banning the registration of same-sex marriages were a breach of the Sex Discrimination Act.

Margan was wrong, found the judge: "There cannot be discrimination by reason of the sex of a person because in all cases, the treatment of the person of the opposite sex is the same.

"Hence, a man cannot enter into the state of marriage as defined with another man just as a woman cannot enter into the state of marriage with another woman as defined."

What Margan wanted was not equality, but a new form of marriage, said Justice Jagot. "By statutory definition, persons of the opposite sex may marry and persons of the same sex may not. The redress for these circumstances lies in the political and not the legal arena because what would be required is a change to the definition of 'marriage' in the Marriage Act."

Justice Jagot did not say she opposed such a change. She has simply exposed the word games being played.

Neil Foster, an associate professor in law at Newcastle University,

agrees. The "equality" argument is "fundamentally misconceived", he says. The call to allow same-sex marriage is legitimately seen as not a claim against discrimination, but a claim to change the nature of marriage."

You may want that change or not. Fine. Let's debate. But ditch that deceptive talk of "equality" and be honest about what we're deciding.

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/opinion/word-games-wont-fool-us/story-e6frfifx-1226589761730>

\*\*\*

### A Leftist spin can remake remarks

By: Andrew Bolt, *Herald Sun*  
March 04, 2013 10:28AM

**WANT a lesson on the Left's politics of seeming? Look at how Scott Morrison and Eric Abetz were lynched last week.**

The trick is to judge people not by what they do but what they say or seem. Take boat people. Forget that Labor's more "compassionate" border laws have lured 1000 people to their deaths. What counts is not what's been done by such lethally incompetent politicians, but what their critics might have said.

Enter Morrison, the Coalition's immigration spokesman, now branded a racist by Labor and the Greens.

An AAP report explains why: "The Federal Opposition has been accused of appealing to prejudice and fearmongering after proposing a plan to notify residents when asylum seekers are housed in their area."

True, I, too, attacked Morrison on 2GB for seemingly spreading fear of asylum seekers, although I cautioned I was relying only on newspaper reports. But I've since gone through transcripts of

Morrison's media interviews. If he'd indeed talked of alerting residents about any boat people in their suburb, yes, that would be disgraceful.

And, true, his initial comment was carelessly ambiguous, calling for "neighbouring residents to be alerted in advance of boat arrivals being located in their community on bridging visas or community detention".

But within hours, he explained what he meant. Referring to boat people now housed in university dormitories and even an Adelaide retirement home, he said: "Certainly the residents of that same facility should be aware of something of that nature happening in the place where they live."

That's racist? Morrison was sloppy. A far worse gotcha game was played on the Opposition's Senate leader, Eric Abetz. Again, from AAP: "The Federal Government has accused senior Liberal Senator Eric Abetz of comparing asylum-seekers with paedophiles."

In fact, the transcript of Abetz's press conference shows the link with paedophiles was made by a reporter and rejected by Abetz. Incredibly, Labor and the Greens pretended Abetz made a link he actually denied. They made Abetz seem the racist. And by denouncing him, at least they seemed good.

<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/opinion/leftistspincanremakemarks/story-e6frfifx-1226589766138>

---

[In the following article Sylvia Stoltz warns against getting caught up in alternate and fruitless movements that merely distracts from the larger issues underpinning them. She mentions the trend for some activists getting involved in such activities as not paying parking fines on grounds that there is no legal basis for local government to levy such fines, which she considers time-wasting and not grappling with the real issue – confronting head-on the current political power base. Then there are those who attempt to disconnect from the current political system by declaring themselves autonomous on grounds that the present system is illegal, etc., or, declaring that the corporate state is an illegal entity, that the writing of names in capital letters makes us non-natural persons, then claiming protection from another sovereign entity. The alternate scene that she describes also reflects what is happening in Australia, though we still have to find individuals who do nothing and hope that aliens in flying saucers will rescue them from all the evil in the world. They have not yet established themselves here – not yet! A.I.]

\*\*\*

## Warnung vor Irreführung

**Es treten immer mehr Bewegungen auf, die ihr Programm vorstellen, sich von der BRD abzukoppeln: Rechtslehrgänge, Informationsdienste, Reichsregierungen, Könige, Selbstverwaltungen, Provinzen und vieles mehr.**

Ich werde oft gefragt, warum ich mich nicht hier oder dort anschliese. Die Gründe im wesentlichen sind: Näher betrachtet, sind die meisten Strategien von der inhaltlichen Konzeption her aus rechtlicher und sachlicher Sicht nicht vertretbar. Ob der jeweilige Hintergrund lediglich mangelnde Sach- und Rechtskenntnis ist oder absichtliche Irreführung, kann vorerst dahingestellt bleiben.

Es sei jedenfalls von Bewegungen abgeratenen, die insbesondere mindestens einen der folgenden inhaltlichen Bestandteile haben:

- „Legitimierung“ durch oder Berufen auf Vorschriften der Alliierten Kriegssieger (z.B. „SHAEF“).
- „Legitimierung“ durch oder Berufen auf UNO-Resolutionen (→Anerkennen der „Neuen Weltordnung“).<sup>1</sup>
- Berufung auf EU-Vorschriften (Gibt ein Anerkennen der „Neuen Weltordnung“ zu verstehen).<sup>1</sup>
- Sichunterstellen „zum Schutz“ unter die Gerichtsbarkeit einer anderen Nation (z.B. Russland).
- Reformen „innerhalb des Systems“.
- Berufung auf die „Weimarer Verfassung“ Stand 1919 (Federführung durch Sieger des 1. Weltkriegs).
- Berufung auf die „Verfassung“ der DDR (Die DDR war eine Fremdherrschaft der sowjet. Kriegssieger)
- Aufspaltung des Deutschen Reichs in „2. Reich“ und „3. Reich“, Anknüpfung am „2. Deutschen Reich“ (Rechtlich gesehen gibt es nur ein Deutsches Reich. Es ist seit 8. Mai 1945 mangels Organisation handlungsunfähig, aber nach wie vor rechtsfähig. Rechtsgültiger Stand: 7. Mai 1945).
- Eintreten für „Entnazifizierung“.
- Distanzierung von sog. „Extremisten“, „Holocaustleugnern“, „Nationalisten“ oder „Antisemiten“.
- Behauptung, Adolf Hitler habe das deutsche Volk verraten.
- Behauptung, die BRD wurde „das Dritte Reich weiterführen“ (Die BRD ist das Konzept der Kriegssieger).<sup>2</sup>

- Behauptung, die BRD sei „das Vierte Reich“.
- Behauptung, die BRD sei eine nach Völkerrecht rechtmäßige Kriegsliste.<sup>3</sup>
- Behauptung, die Europäische Union (EU) sei von „Nazis“ gegründet worden (Untauglicher Versuch, Verantwortung und Schuld an der Misere von den tatsächlichen Urhebern abzuwalzen).
- Behauptung, es seien „BRD-Nazis“, die den EU-Ländern die finanziellen Bedingungen diktieren oder von den EU-Finanztransaktionen profitieren (Nationalsozialisten sind das mit Sicherheit nicht. Seit 1945 findet eine brutale „Entnazifizierung“ statt. Nationalsozialisten werden in der BRD – wie in der BRO – rechtlos gestellt, unterdrückt, rigoros verfolgt und existenziell ruiniert).
- Versprechen von mehr, besserer oder echter „Demokratie“ (Demokratie wird sehr geschätzt von Machthabern, die nicht offen in Erscheinung treten wollen).<sup>4</sup>
- Behauptung, das „Dritte Reich“ sei eine Fremdherrschaft gewesen (Die nationalsozialistische Regierung unter Adolf Hitler war rechtmäßig gewählt und wurde bis 1945 und darüber hinaus von der Mehrheit des Deutschen Volkes befürwortet).<sup>5</sup>
- Behauptung, der Nationalsozialismus sei jüdischen Ursprungs.
- Behauptung, Adolf Hitler habe im Auftrag des Judentums regiert. (Deswegen wird er noch heute tagtäglich vehement mit allen Mitteln bekämpft? Deswegen machen die BRD- und BRO-Regierungen grundsätzlich das Gegenteil von dem, was er gemacht hat? Deswegen wurden nach 1945 privatisierte Währungsbank, Zinswirtschaft und betäubungsloses Schachten u.v.a. wieder eingeführt?) Kurz: Es sei vor allen Bestrebungen, Standpunkten und Irreführungen gewarnt, die den Interessen der Gegner des Deutschen Volkes (und der Gegner aller Nationen) dienen und letztlich in die Arme der „Neuen Weltordnung“ führen.

◀ »Wer bestimmt eigentlich, daß Deutschland ein Einwanderungsland ist?«  
»Die schon immer sein Bestes wollten . « ▶

Es sei auch gewarnt vor der sog. „Personenstandserklärung“ im Sinne von „sich zur natürlichen Person zu erklären“. Eine solche Erklärung ist überflüssig (Jeder Mensch ist von Geburt an eine natürliche Person, auch in der BRD), zwecklos (Sie ändert nichts an der Vereinnahmung durch die BRD), kontraproduktiv (Stößt wegen ihres confusen Inhalts viele Interessierte vom Thema Deutsches Reich ab) und führt zu der Gefahr, das die betreffende Person für nicht bei Trost und somit für nicht geschäftsfähig gehalten wird (Etliche Betreuungsverfahren wurden bereits eingeleitet). Das Versprechen ihrer Verfechter, durch die „Personenstandserklärung“ von BRD-Forderungen, wie Steuern, befreit zu werden, wird regelmäßig enttäuscht.

Die Gegner des Deutschen Reichs haben Wahrheit und Recht nicht auf ihrer Seite, sie haben keine Argumente, sie sind auf Gewalt und Irreführung als Mittel angewiesen.

Nur wenn unsinnige, halbwahre oder nicht stichhaltige Ausführungen vorgebracht werden – wie zum Beispiel, daß die BRD rechtlich nicht „mehr“ existiere bzw. „untergegangen“ sei – haben die BRD-Behörden „Gegenargumente“ vorzubringen. Eine solche Argumentationslage, die an der Sache vorbeigeht, wird daher absichtlich herbeigeführt.

Verschiedenste fragwürdige Erklärungen und Konstrukte – wie z.B. die sog. „Personenstandserklärung“ – werden von vermeintlichen „Experten“ verbreitet<sup>6</sup> und dann von allzu Gutgläubigen<sup>7</sup> vor BRD-Behörden vorgebracht. Solche Ausführungen geben den BRD-Behörden Gelegenheit, von den unleugbaren Gründen des rechtlichen Fortbestehens des Deutschen Reichs sowie des mißlichen Status‘ der BRD abzulenken, sie zu ignorieren und die Reichsbewegung als Ganzes als

„nicht fundiert“, „abstrus“ und „unseriös“ hinzustellen.**8** Womit der Zweck solcher Konstrukte erfüllt ist. Themen wie die folgenden lenken vom Ursprung des Problems und somit von der Lösung ab: „Weggefahrener Geltungsbereich“ des Grundgesetzes, Bundesbereinigungsgesetz, „BRD = GmbH“**9**, „alles Firmen“, fehlende Unterschrift auf Urteilen und Bescheiden, Grundgesetzwidrigkeit des Bundeswahlgesetzes, Nichtregierungsorganisation (NGO), „nur Geltung von Handelsrecht“ und vieles mehr.

Natürlich fällt auf, das seit langem – begonnen mit der Währungsbank – Schritt für Schritt alles und jedes privatisiert und zum Handelsobjekt gemacht wird. Und es ist zwar wichtig, die Privatisierung von staatlichen und gemeindlichen Belangen energisch anzuprangern. Und natürlich fallen seit Einrichtung der BRD Ungereimtheiten in der „Gesetzeslage“ auf. Sich jedoch nur auf die einzelnen Erscheinungen bzw. Symptome zu konzentrieren, lenkt von dem wesentlichen Kern ab, der sich in der Entstehungsgeschichte der BRD findet (vgl. die Rede**2** von Prof. jur. Carlo Schmid: Maßnahmen einer Fremdherrschaft sind für das betreffende Volk rechtlich nicht bindend).

Die Forderung nach einem Friedensvertrag ist derzeit verfehlt. Unter den derzeitigen Gegebenheiten wäre ein Friedensvertrag eine Farce. Erst müssen die tatsächlichen und rechtlichen Voraussetzungen für einen wirksamen Friedensvertrag geschaffen sein, was auf den Ursprung des Problems verweist. Das Bestreben nach einer Verfassung ist an sich richtig, aber man sollte nicht übersehen, dass erst die tatsächlichen und rechtlichen Voraussetzungen für die Verabschiedung einer wirksamen Verfassung vorliegen müssen, wodurch wiederum auf den Ursprung des Problems verwiesen ist.

Die Idee einer pauschalen Festlegung auf eine gemeinsame Außenpolitik der europäischen Nationen bedeutet eine nicht nur unnötige, sondern auch voreilige, unvertretbare und unzumutbare Einschränkung der Handlungsfreiheit Deutschlands. Die künftige Bündnispolitik Deutschlands ist danach

auszurichten, welche Nationen – nicht nur in Europa – sich tatsächlich die erforderliche Souveränität (Unabhängigkeit) schaffen und inwieweit deren jeweilige Absichten und Interessen mit denen des Deutschen Volkes vereinbar sind.

Wer erklärt, ein Angehöriger des Deutschen Reichs zu sein, und Reichsausweise bei sich führt, sollte dennoch vorerst nicht völlig auf BRD-Ausweise verzichten. Ohne sie kann in der Regel kein Auto angemeldet, kein notarieller Vertrag abgeschlossen, keine Arbeitslosenhilfe beantragt werden (wem sollte das Geld zustehen, wenn nicht den Deutschen), kein Einschreiben bei der Post abgeholt werden (und vieles mehr). Es hat wenig Sinn, die Handlungsfähigkeit unnötig noch weiter einzuschränken, als sie es ohnehin für einen Deutschen bereits ist. Der angemessene Standpunkt wäre folgender: Der Besitz von BRD-Ausweisen erfolgt vorübergehend und gezwungenermaßen aus Gründen der gegenwärtigen faktischen Erforderlichkeit (Grenzübergang, Kfz-Nutzung u.a.) jedoch ohne Anerkennung einer Rechtspflicht. (Vorsorglich: Das gilt nicht für die Einsetzung eines „Chips“ in den Körper. Ein „Chip“ im Körper sollte unbedingt und unter allen Umständen vermieden werden.)

### **Privatisierung: Enteignung des Staates bedeutet Enteignung des Volkes.**

Viele suchen einen leichten Weg – oder nur ihren eigenen Vorteil – und folgen Konzepten, die mit „Risikolosigkeit“ werben. Soweit Versprechungen gemacht werden, problemlos eine Befreiung von BRD-Forderungen, wie Steuern, zu bewirken, so haben bereits sehr viele schmerzlich feststellen müssen, dass die BRD auch angesichts dieser Konzepte – z.B. Berufung auf Vorschriften der Alliierten, auf UNO-Resolution oder sog. „Personenstandserklärung“ – keineswegs gewillt ist, auf Beitreibung von Steuern (sofern Einkommen vorhanden), Kosten oder Busgeldern zu verzichten. Solche Erfahrungen machen immerhin deutlich: Die Freiheit bekommt man nicht geschenkt – nicht ohne Risiko.

Das Verwenden des Wortes „Nazi“ im Sinne von „Nazionist“ ist überflüssig und führt zu

irreführenden Verwechslungen, etwa in dem Sinne, „Nazis“ würden gegenwärtig die Herrschaft ausüben bzw. Seien die Urheber der gegenwärtigen Misere.

Es spricht nichts dagegen, sich mit dem Thema Flugscheiben zu befassen. Es gibt jedoch keinen sachlich gerechtfertigten Grund, zu Untätigkeit und „Abwarten“ aufzurufen, weil man ohnehin eine Befreiung durch UFOs erwarte. Viele warten auf den allgemeinen Finanzzusammenbruch und hegen den Wunschgedanken, dadurch würde quasi von alleine alles gut werden. Wer solche Illusion verbreitet, macht sich verdächtig, darüber hinwegtäuschen zu wollen, das in dem „Zusammenbruch“ dieselbe „Ordnung“ wie die jetzige fortzufahren sich bereit macht – nur mit ausgetauschten Gesichtern und anderen Bezeichnungen (Sie würde zur Not – als letzten Trick – auch versuchen, ein Schein-„Deutsches Reich“ unter ihrer Regie zu simulieren).

Auch wer stets betont, man könne nichts ändern, es habe „keinen Sinn“, man solle „schlau“ sein, macht sich verdächtig. Infiltrierte Leute sind beauftragt, Hoffnungslosigkeit zu verbreiten, zu intrigieren und zu spalten, kurz: wirksamen Widerstand zu verhindern. Manche rufen zu Chaos und Zerstörung auf. Manche versuchen zu Gewalt anzustiften und den Mythos des angeblichen „Rechtsterrorismus“ zu nähren.

Nicht selten stößt man auf mangelnde Klarsicht oder fragwürdige Beweggründe. Es entlarvt sich selbst, wer Vermutungen ausstreut, ich würde in Wirklichkeit für den „Verfassungsschutz“ arbeiten, sei gar nicht im Gefängnis gewesen oder Horst Mahler (verurteilt zu über 10 Jahren Haft wegen „Holocaustleugnung“ und „antisemitischer“ Äußerungen) sei nicht im Gefängnis, sondern auf „Mallorca“. Ebenso wer versucht, mich und meinen Vortrag auf der 8. Anti-Zensur-Konferenz in zweifelhaftes Licht zu rücken mit Behauptungen wie, Ivo Sasek sei mit Scientology verbunden, oder, ich sei „beauftragt“ ihm zu „schaden“.

Insgesamt ist festzustellen, daß eine Irreführung und Verzettelung größten Ausmaßes im Gange ist, durch die die teilweise aufgewachten Deutschen und ihr

Engagement in die politische Wirkungslosigkeit abgezogen werden.

Erfundenes wird mit einem Teil Wahrheit vermischt. „Lösungen“ werden verkündet, die zum Gegenteil des Erhofften führen. Und immer wieder tauchen neue, noch unsinnigere bzw. heimtückischere Verkündigungen auf (wie z.B. „Allgemeine Geschäftsbedingungen (AGB) Angebot Handelsvertrag“, UNO-„Immunitatsnachweis“ oder „frei“ durch „One People’s Public Trust“).

Der Weg, der wirklich zu Freiheit, Souveränität und Rettung des Deutschen Volkes (und der anderen Völker) führt, wird – eben deswegen – von seinen Gegnern laufend mit Verleumdungen, Verböten und Anfeindungen übersät und vermint. Dieser Weg wird daher noch von vielen verkannt und gemieden.

Doch den sinnvollen Weg zu meiden, führt zu nichts oder in den Unsinn, jedenfalls nicht zu Freiheit und Souveränität.

Nur eine sachlich und rechtlich haltbare, nachvollziehbare und überzeugende Argumentation – und ein konsequentes persönliches Einstehen dafür – kann die Mehrheit der Deutschen gewinnen.

Dann ist alles möglich.

Für die Töchter, für die Söhne, für das Wahre, Gute, Schöne.

#### **Ref.:**

**1.** Durch Berufung auf UN-Resolutionen (z.B. im Rahmen einer Selbstverwaltung), auf Menschenrechte, oder Erhebung von Klagen oder Beschwerden an EU- und UNO-Institutionen gibt man – bewusst oder unbewusst – zu verstehen, sich der „Neuen Weltordnung“ bzw. geplanten „Eine-Welt“-Regierung zu unterstellen. Es wird der Eindruck erweckt, als hätten sie eine Legitimation. (Strafprozesse vor BRD- oder BRO-Gerichten werden den Angeklagten aufgezwungen und es spricht nichts dagegen, in diesem Rahmen die betreffenden Sachverhalte und Argumente im Instanzenzug des Nationalgebiets zu den Akten zu geben.) Das Deutsche Reich wird von der UN-Charta als Feindstaat aufgeführt. Informelle Mitteilungen, dies sei „obsolet“ (überholt), sind ohne Bedeutung. UNO und EU sind von denselben Kräften gegründet und geleitet wie die BRD, mit dem nachlässig verschleierten Ziel, die Nationen – d.h. die Völker –

abzuschaffen („One People“-Vereinigungen gehören zu dieser Strategie). Es ist – schon nach ihren erklärten Grundsätzen – nicht zu erwarten, das Klagen und Beschwerden mit nationalem Hintergrund bzw. im Interesse nationaler Unabhängigkeit Recht bekommen. Denn nationale Interessen oder Standpunkte werden grundsätzlich als „Diskriminierung“ eingestuft und verworfen. Dafür gelten UN-Menschenrechte nicht. Nur internationale bzw. anti-nationale Interessen scheinen zu zählen. (Wie ernst ist im *Babylonischen Talmud* gemeint, „Juden werden Menschen genannt, Nichtjuden aber werden nicht Menschen, sondern Vieh genannt“? Baba bathra, Fol. 114 b, vgl. Jebamoth 61 a, Kerithot 6b, 7a).

Das Bestreiten oder Infragestellen des sog. „Holocaust“ wird entsprechend eingestuft. Daran ändert auch die Menschenrechtskonvention vom Juli 2011 nichts. Dort heist es zwar, das ein allgemeines Verbot von „Meinungsäuserungen über historische Tatsachen“ gegen Menschenrechte verstößt. § 130 StGB-BRD ist aber – wie das Bundesverfassungsgericht der BRD (am 4. Nov. 2009, I BvR 2150/08) zugegeben hat – eine (als solche grundgesetzwidrige) Sonderregelung, die nur bezüglich „nationalsozialistischer Verbrechen“ „ausnahmsweise zulässig“ sei. Mehrfache Akrobistik – ein klarer Fall von Willkür. Eine Düsseldorfer Anklagevertreterin erklärte diese Menschenrechtskonvention im Prozess gegen einen „Holocaustleugner“ für nicht anwendbar. Aber sie beschwichtigt die Gemüter wieder für eine Weile. (Der Zweck ist erfüllt.) Und „Holocaustleugner“ werden weiterhin strafverfolgt – obwohl der „Holocaust“ nicht verbindlich definiert ist – wobei weiterhin rigides Beweis- und Verteidigungsverbot herrscht.

**2.** Die BRD ist weder Rechtsnachfolger des Deutschen Reichs, noch mit ihm identisch. Prof. jur. Carlo Schmid sagte am 8.9.1948 in seiner Rede im Parlamentarischen Rat – bei der Verabschiedung des Grundgesetzes – über die von den Kriegssiegern neugebildete Organisation Deutschlands, daß es sich um eine „Organisationsform einer Modalität

der Fremdherrschaft“ handele, daß es sich bei dem Grundgesetz mangels Souveränität des deutschen Volkes nicht um eine Verfassung handele, daß das zu bildende System aus demselben Grund kein Staat sei.

(„Der Parlamentarische Rat 1948-1949, Akten und Protokolle“, Band 9, herausgegeben vom Deutschen Bundestag und vom Bundesarchiv, Harald Boldt Verlag im R. Oldenbourg Verlag, München 1996, Seite 20 ff.)

Wodurch hatte sich das seither geändert? Seit 1945 werden die von den Kriegssiegern nicht gewünschten Parteien verboten (keine „freien Wahlen“) und die Posten in Parteien, Behörden, Institutionen, Gerichten, Wirtschaftskonzernen und Medien entsprechend besetzt. Es ist nicht ersichtlich, das sich durch die „2+4-Verträge“ 1990 daran etwas geändert hatte. **»Wir in Deutschland sind seit dem 8. Mai 1945 zu keinem Zeitpunkt mehr voll souverän gewesen«**

(so der ehemalige BRD-Innenminister Wolfgang Schäuble auf dem European Banking Congress in Frankfurt am Main am 18. Nov. 2011). Es gibt keine „Teilsouveränität“. Souveränität (Unabhängigkeit, Herrschaftsgewalt im eigenen Gebiet) besteht oder besteht nicht. Wer für die Interessen des deutschen Volkes eintritt, wird in BRD und BRO (in der Schweiz ebenfalls) als „Extremist“ bezeichnet, rechtmäßig gestellt, rigoros verfolgt und existenziell ruiniert. Wer wäre legitimiert gewesen, die Gesetze des Deutschen Reichs rechtlich wirksam abzuschaffen?

**3. »Die Absetzung der Regierung des Feindstaates oder die Einsetzung einer neuen Regierung für das besetzte Gebiet (häufig Puppen-, Marionetten- oder Quislings-Regierung genannt) überschreitet die Befugnisse der Besatzungsmacht; eine solche Regierung ist nicht einmal als de-facto-Regierung anzusehen.«**

Friedrich Berber, Lehrbuch des Volkerrechts, Band II Kriegsrecht, 2. Auflage München 1969, C.H. Beck Verlag, S. 132 f.

**4.** Täglich ist zu erleben und zu beobachten, wie „Demokratie“ geschützt wird: Indem sie ausgeholt und faktisch abgeschafft wird. Ein Widerspruch in sich, ein

schwarzer Schimmel. Das ist keineswegs eine moderne Erscheinung. Schon Platon wies darauf hin, das Demokratie unweigerlich in Tyrannie mündet (in „Der Staat“). Demokratie ist seit langer Zeit bewahrt für Machthaber, die nicht offen in Erscheinung treten wollen. Und ein Einfallstor für Unterwanderung und Uebernahme unerwünschter Parteien und Vereine.

**5.** Spiegel-Autor Gotz Aly berichtete, das 95% der Deutschen „den Nationalsozialismus nicht als System der Unfreiheit und des Terrors, sondern als Regime der sozialen Wärme, als eine Art Wohlfühl-Diktatur“ empfanden (*Der Spiegel* Nr. 10/2005, S. 56). *Der Spiegel* berichtete, das noch im Jahr 1948 etwa 57 % der Deutschen

meinten, der Nationalsozialismus sei eine gute Idee gewesen (Nr. 20/2003, S. 47).

**6.** z.B. Interpretationen, was es bedeute, das im BRD-Personalausweis der Nachname in Grosbuchstaben geschrieben ist (Was auch immer tatsächlich dahinter stecken mag. Es ist als staatsrechtliche oder politische Argumentation nicht tauglich. Es geht ins Leere)

**7.** Wenn etwas unverständlich ist, muß es deswegen nicht „juristisch“ und richtig sein. Es kann auch daran liegen, daß es Unsinn ist.

**8.** Vergleiche zum Beispiel: LKV, Zeitschrift für Landes- und Kommunalverwaltung, 12/2012, Seite 529 ff

**9.** Das die BRD eine GmbH sei, wird von der „Bundesrepublik

Deutschland Finanzagentur GmbH“ abgeleitet. Dies ist so nicht zutreffend. Die Finanzagentur GmbH wickelt als Bundesunternehmen die Schuldenufnahme für die BRD, die Gesellschafterin ist, ab. Faktisch allerdings wird die BRD im Zuge der globalen Privatisierung aller Nationalstaaten mehr und mehr als privates Unternehmen erkennbar.

**März 2013**

**Sylvia Stolz,**  
**Rechtsanwältin,**  
**„Holocaustleugnung“ aus der Rechtsanwaltschaft der BRD ausgeschlossen,**  
**Pfarrer-Grabmeier-Allee 10,**  
**D-85560 Ebersberg,**  
**Tel: 08092-24418,**  
**[sylvia.stolz@aol.com](mailto:sylvia.stolz@aol.com)**



## Overkill in the name of Islam threat

**Paul Sheehan, Sydney Morning Herald columnist, February 25, 2013**

On Monday, in Dhaka, I received a friendly rebuke from the Bangladeshi Minister for Civil Aviation and Tourism, Faruk Khan. I had described Bangladesh as a moderate Muslim nation.

"No." he replied. "Bangladesh is not a moderate Muslim nation."

He paused for effect.

"We are a democracy. Bangladesh is a secular nation. Although the majority of the population is Muslim, we do not operate under sharia law. We honour the major religious holidays of Hindus, Buddhists and Christians, not just Muslims."

We were talking at a reception in the Australian High Commission in the capital, and the mood was convivial. The minister was even wearing a cravat. I had spent a week in Bangladesh on holiday and loved it. I've never heard the words "holiday" and "Bangladesh" in the same sentence in Australia.

The country has 160 million people, 83 per cent of whom are Muslim and 16 per cent Hindu, and they are invisible to Australians, living in fly-

over territory. But they are a warm people, it is vivid country, and Bangladesh should be considered by anyone interested in travel as it used to be, before mass tourism.


Visitors might be put off by the hundreds of posters throughout the cities depicting a noose. The country is engaged in a furious national debate about imposing the death penalty on an Islamist politician, Abdul Quader Mollah, for crimes committed during the 1971 civil war when Bangladesh seceded from Pakistan.

Estimates of the war's death toll vary between 300,000 and 3 million, a shocking range, whatever the number. Bangladesh is thus a Muslim nation with recent experience of oppression by another Muslim nation. Islamist parties have failed to gain significant traction. The prime minister is a woman. The leader of the opposition is a woman.

On February 5, the International Crimes Tribunal of Bangladesh sentenced Mollah to life in prison, which caused a national outrage

because a life sentence was deemed lenient for a man widely regarded as a "butcher".

On the day of the High Commission reception, a strike had been called by Islamist supporters of Mollah, along with many threats against people going to work, but despite the threats the strike failed. Dhaka appeared normal. The police presence was unobtrusive.



**Illustration:** michaelmucci.com

The same could not be said in my home town after I got back from Bangladesh and travelled to Liverpool on Friday. Police were everywhere. I was going to listen to a speech by the Dutch politician Geert Wilders, whose party received

1 million votes in the last elections and who believes Islam and democracy are incompatible. His antipathy towards militant Islam has earned his family a life under police protection.

But as Bangladesh shows, and nearby Indonesia shows, there is no Muslim monolith. The problem is a strain of violent, mediaeval, repressive Islamic fundamentalism that exists within nearly every large Muslim community but does not define any large Muslim community. After scuffles outside Wilders's speaking venue in Melbourne last week, NSW police took no chances. On my way to the venue I encountered nine uniformed police at Liverpool station; a mobile police command post; a mobile police custody unit; a row of paddy wagons; a police cordon of 36 officers at the rear of the venue; another police cordon, with 56 officers, at the front; four mounted police; two checkpoints and a metal detector. Finally, inside the hall, about a dozen plainclothes officers stood around the room.

Much like the warnings in Dhaka last Monday, the threat of intimidation in Sydney proved illusory. The protest was small, desultory and kept at a distance by the police. (I'd hate to see the bill for such caution.) The function room was packed with about 500 people. "I could have sold a lot more tickets but we ran out of room," the tour's organiser, Debbie Robertson, told me. The venue was a very late compromise.

Such was the paranoia surrounding Wilders's visit that Robertson's book attempts had been rejected by dozens of venues. The event in Perth was cancelled late after the Liberal Premier of Western Australia, Colin Barnett, pulled the plug on the venue.

As Wilders strode to the podium he was given a cheering ovation. He responded: "I am here with a message that your political leaders do not want you to hear." More cheers.

His message was explicit: Islam is a social, legal and political code, not just a religion, which makes Muslim communities self-segregating and damages social cohesion.

"Do not repeat the mistakes we have made in Europe . . . we are experiencing that the more Islamic a society becomes, the less free it becomes . . . in each of our cities there is a city within a city, a state within a state . . . Islamic racism is growing inside our cities . . ."

He said Australia was experiencing the same process of incremental separatism. "We need to shed a light on this process . . . so the most dangerous [trend] of all is governments that draft bills that restrict our freedom of speech . . . it means we are seeing legal jihad. The pro-Islamic lobby like to drag people to court . . . to spend endless time and money in the court process . . . we have to end this charade. Let the law protect people from sharia instead of selling us out to sharia." More cheers.

"Many politicians, including almost all Australian federal politicians, shy

away from confronting Islam's intolerance . . . I'm afraid you are about to make the same mistakes through mass immigration by Muslims, and cultural relativism, which is even worse than multiculturalism . . . the intellectuals, the politicians, the media, tolerate . . . an Islam that does not tolerate us.

"The people of Europe have not fallen for the big lie . . . 57 per cent of Dutch people believe mass Muslim immigration is the biggest mistake since World War II; 56 per cent of Dutch see Islam as a threat; 64 per cent of Germans hold Islam as violent; 74 per cent of French people see Islam as intolerant.

"These people are not extremists. They stand for decency, for commonsense, for liberty . . . we do not represent a fringe minority, as your minister [for Immigration, Chris] Bowen said. We represent a majority."

The room gave him a standing, cheering ovation. He departed, leaving behind a question: who holds the fringe view on the issue of Muslim immigration in Australia? Is it the Dutch visitor, or the political-media class that shunned him?

**Twitter:** [@Paul\\_Sheehan](https://twitter.com/Paul_Sheehan)  
<http://www.smh.com.au/opinion/politics/overkill-in-the-name-of-islam-threat201302242ezhw.html#ixzz2L2NNPVe>

## INSIDE THE EYE – LIVE!

Intelligent Media for the Politically Aware


Written on February 20th, 2013 by TheFetch

# Dr. Fredrick Toben on ITEL, February 23, 2013

 Posted in [Show Archives](#)

Dr. Fredrick Toben will make a guest appearance on ITEL Radio on Saturday, February 23, 2013.

"Dr. Gerald Fredrick Töben (born June 1944) is a German-born Australian citizen and founder of the Historical revisionist Adelaide Institute. He is the author of at least eight books on education, political science and history. In 1999 he was imprisoned for nine months at Mannheim Prison for breaching Germany's Holocaust Law. Prior to that he completed a Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Stuttgart in 1977 and subsequently taught at various secondary schools and tertiary colleges in New Zealand, Germany, Rhodesia and Nigeria. In 1994, he established the privately run Adelaide Institute.



<http://insidetheeyelive.com/dr-fredrick-toben-on-itel-feb-23-2013/>

On October 1, 2008, Töben was detained at London's Heathrow Airport under an EU arrest warrant issued by the German authorities. He is charged with publishing material on the internet of "an anti-Semitic and/or revisionist nature."



**Fredrick Toben with Iranian President Ahmadinejad**

Töben was an attendee in 2006 of the International Conference to Review the Global Vision of the Holocaust in Iran. ([Source](#)). Dr. Toben has appeared on Rense Radio, among many others.

Join The Fetch and Dr. Toben on Saturday, February 23, 2013 for what should be an entertaining and historically relevant and informative interview. Phone lines will be open.

Dr. Fredrick Toben on the Web: [www.toben.biz](http://www.toben.biz)

Dr. Fredrick Toben on Youtube: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=sLo9wtS74vQ](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=sLo9wtS74vQ)

## More madness from Israel!

Holden SS unpopular in Israel - Friday February 22, 2013



An Israel newspaper has condemned the 'SS' badge on the Holden Commodore, rebadged as a Chevrolet, as a Nazi reference. The SS, which stands for Super Sport, has been threatened with being banned from entry because it shares initials with the notorious Schutzstaffel (or SS) Nazi unit that persecuted Jewish people under Adolf Hitler's reign of terror across Europe. Barach Shuv, manager of the Yad Vashem Holocaust memorial, told the Hebrew daily newspaper Yedioth Achronoth, 'The use of this name is very inappropriate. It is not a name that will bring them pride or success.' The controversial model is a continuation of a series of Holden cars in the SS line but this is the first time that the initials have been used without the full Super Sport title included in the name. Currently, the Holden SS has not been the subject of official Jewish criticism in Australia. Car manufacturer Toyota has also previously run into trouble giving a car a name with an unintended association to wartime tragedy. It goes by the 'Avalon' today but Toyota had first branded it the Centaur, which was also the name of an Australian hospital ship sunk by a Japanese submarine during World War II. <http://www.skynews.com.au/world/article.aspx?id=848216>

---

### Remember this definitive article from 2005?

\*

#### Soft and Undercover Coups d'État

#### The Albert Einstein Institution: non-violence according to the CIA

By: [Thierry Meyssan](#), Voltaire Network, 4 January 2005

Non violence as a political action technique can be used for anything. During the 1980s, NATO drew its attention on its possible use to organize the Resistance in Europe after the invasion of the Red Army. It's been 15 years since CIA began using it to overthrow inflexible governments without provoking international outrage, and its ideological façade is philosopher Gene Sharp's Albert Einstein Institution. Voltaire Network reveals its amazing activity, from Lithuania to Serbia, Venezuela and Ukraine. Unknown to the public, Gene Sharp formulated a theory on non violence


as a political weapon. Also he first helped NATO and then CIA train the leaders of the soft coups of the last 15 years. Since the 50s, Gene Sharp studied Henry D. Thoreau and Mohandas K. Gandhi's theory of civil disobedience. For these authors, obedience and disobedience were religious and moral matters, not political ones. However, to preach had political consequences; what could be considered an aim could be perceived as a mean. Civil disobedience can be considered then as a political, even military, action technique.



In 1983, Sharp designed the Non Violent Sanctions Program in the Center for International Affairs of Harvard University where he did some social sciences studies on the possible use of civil disobedience by Western Europe population in case of a military invasion carried out by

the troops of the Warsaw Pact. At the same time, he founded in Boston the Albert Einstein Institution with the double purpose of financing his own researches and applying his own models to specific situations. In 1985, he published a book titled "Making Europe Unconquerable" [1] whose second edition included a preface by George Kennan, the Father of the Cold War. In 1987, the association was funded by the U.S. Institute for Peace and hosted seminars to instruct its allies on defense based on civil disobedience. General Fricaud-Chagnaud, on his part, introduced his "civil deterrence" concept at the Foundation of National Defense Studies. [2]

General Edward B Atkeson, seconded by the US Army to the Director of the CIA, [3] integrates the institute into the apparatus of the US stay-behind network interfering in the affairs of allied states. Focusing on the morality of the means of action avoids debate on the legitimacy of the action. Non-violence, accepted as good in itself and an integral part of democracy, facilitates whitewashing of covert actions which are intrinsically non-democratic.



**Gene Sharp**

In 1989, when the Albert Institution became well known, Gene Sharp began to advise anticomunist movements. He participated in the establishment of Burma's Democratic Alliance - a coalition of notable anticomunists that quickly joined the military government - and Taiwan's Progressive Democratic Party - which favored the independence of the island from communist China, something U.S. officially opposed. He also unified the Tibetan opposition under Dalai Lama and tried to form a dissident

group within PLO so that Palestinian nationalists would stop terrorism [4] (he made the necessary arrangements with Colonel Reuven Gal, [5] director of the Psychological Action division of the Israeli armed forces, to train them secretly in the American Embassy in Tel Aviv).

When CIA realized how useful could the Albert Einstein Institution be, it brought Colonel Robert Helvey into play. An expert in clandestine actions and former dean of the Embassies's Military Attachés Training School, "Bob" took Gene Sharp to Burma to educate the opposition on the non violent strategy for criticizing the crudest military junta of the world without questioning the system. By doing this, Helvey could identify the "good" and the "bad" opponents in a critical moment for Washington: the true opposition, led by Mrs. Suu Kyi, was labeled as a threat to the pro-American regimen.

«Bob's» job was easily done. Since he was military attaché in Rangoon from 1983 to 1985 and helped to structure the dictatorship, he knew everybody. By playing a double game, Colonel Helvey simultaneously directed a classical action of military support to Karen resistance: by providing weapons and controlling a limited guerrilla, Washington wished, indeed, to maintain the military junta under pressure.

Since that moment, Sharp has always been present everywhere American interests are put at risk. In June 1989, he and his assistant, Bruce Jenkins, went to Beijing, two weeks before Tiananmen events. They were both expelled by Chinese authorities. In February 1990, the Albert Einstein Institution hosted a Conference on Non Violent Sanctions that brought together 185 experts of 16 countries under Colonels Robert Helvey and Reuven Gal. This marked the beginning of an international anticomunist crusade to involve peoples in non violent action.

Professor Thomas Schelling, [6] well known economist and CIA consultant, joined the Administrative Council of the Institution whose official budget was still stable though it was also funded by the International Republican Institute (IRI), one of the four branches of the National Endowment for Democracy (NED/CIA). [7]

At the same time, Baltic countries proclaimed their independence but, after a test of endurance with Mijail Gorbatchov, they postponed their decision for 2 or 3 years to negotiate their terms. In October 1990, Gene Sharp and his team traveled to Sweden and trained several Lithuanian politicians in the organization of a popular resistance against the Red Army. Months later, in May 1991, when the crisis broke out and Gorbatchov deployed his special forces; Gene Sharp was the adviser of Sajudis separatist party (Perestroika Initiative Group) and remained close to Vytautas Landsbergis. In June 1992, independent Lithuania Minister of Defense, Audrius Butkevicius, hosted a symposium to thank Albert Einstein Institution's key role during the independence process of the Baltic countries.



**Srdja Popovic (left), Serbian leader of the Otpor movement, Gene Sharp (center) and Robert Helvey**

When the U.S began its rearmament in 1998, [8] the Albert Einstein Institution became part of an expansionist strategy. It provided ideology and technique to Otpor («Resistance»), a group of Slobodan Milosevic's young opponents. Simultaneously, it intervened in Kosovo province to train Ibrahim Rugova's LDK, but it turned useless for Washington during the Kosovo war. Then, Otpor quickly became a choice to

overthrow Milosevic who was very popular for resisting NATO. Colonel Helvev trained Otpor's leaders through seminars hosted at Hilton Hotel in Budapest. Money was not a problem to overthrow Europe's last communist government. The person in charge of commanding the operation was agent Paul B. McCarthy, discreetly settled at Moskva hotel in Belgrade until Milosevic's resignation in October 2000.

In September 2002, Gene Sharp went to The Hague to train the members of the Iraqi National Council who were preparing themselves to return to Iraq, along with the American army.

In September 2003, it was also the Albert Einstein Institution who advised the opposition to question the electoral results and go on demonstrations to force Eduard Shevardnadze's resignation [9] during the «revolution» of the roses in Georgia.

When the CIA-organized-coup against Venezuela failed in April 2002, the State Department counted again on the Albert Einstein Institution which advised the owners of enterprises during the organization of the revocatory referendum against President Hugo Chávez. Gene Sharp and his team led the leaders of Súmate during the demonstrations of August 2004. As done before, the only thing they had to do was questioning the electoral results and demanding the resignation of the president. They managed to get the bourgeoisie out in the street but Chavez's popular government was too strong. All in all, international observers had no

other choice but to recognize Hugo Chávez's victory.

Gene Sharp failed in Belarus and Zimbabwe for he could not recruit and train in the proper time the necessary number of demonstrators. During the orange «revolution» in November 2004, [10] we met again with Colonel Robert Helvev in Kiev. Finally, we must point out that the Albert Einstein Institution has begun to train Iranian agitators

But, why Albert Einstein? It is an unsuspicious name. Gene Sharp's first book on Gandhi's methods began with a preface signed by Albert Einstein, though the book was written in 1960, five years after the genius's death. Therefore, Albert Einstein did not write anything for Sharp's work. All that Sharp did was reproducing an article on non violence written by the scientist.

\*\*\*

[1] Making Europe Unconquerable: The Potential of Civilian-based Deterrence and Defense. Taylor & Francis Publishing House, London, 1985. Its second edition included a preface by George F. Kennan, Ballinger Publishing House, Massachusetts, 1986.

[2] General Georges Fricaud Chagnaud had been military attaché at the Embassy of France in Washington, and some time later he was appointed Chief of NATO's French military mission.

[3] General Edward B. Atkeson is currently a CSIS expert and manager of the Association of Former Intelligence Officers (AFIO).

[4] Mubarak Awad, one of the agents formed by Sharp, is

currently (January 2005) in charge of the American aid sent to Indonesia after the tsunami.

[5] Nowadays, Colonel Reuven Gal is deputy head of the National Security Council of Israel in charge of molding Palestine society.

[6] In March 2004, Thomas Schelling was one of the drafters of the Copenhagen Consensus. Sponsored by The Economist, this document questioned the UN Millennium Program and the Kyoto Protocol. Mr. Schelling formulated a theoretical model which suggested that economic growth is the best way to combat global warming for, in the future, it should guarantee the development of the necessary techniques to solve the problem.

[7] Thierry Meyssan : «The Networks of "Democratic" Interference», Voltaire (text in French), November 21, 2004.

[8] In 1998 and despite the lack of enemy, the Congres forced President Clinton to implement a rearmament policy.

[9] See Paul Labarique : «Les dessous du coup d'État en Géorgie», text in French, Voltaire, January 7, 2004.

[10] See Emilia Nazarenko: «Moscow and Washington confronting each other in Ukraine», Voltaire (Text in French), November 1st, 2004. This article was published by Red Voltaire before the first part of the presidential elections and described the organization of the pretended spontaneous movement of the following weeks.

**Thierry Meyssan**

[http://www.voltairenet.org/article\\_30032.html](http://www.voltairenet.org/article_30032.html)

## Email traffic with a problem ... ... raising some serious questions:

**From:** Steve Hirst  
[steve848@btinternet.com](mailto:steve848@btinternet.com)

**Sent:** Wednesday, 19 December 2012 8:21 AM

**To:**[toben@toben.biz](mailto:toben@toben.biz)

**Subject:** Holy Books

Kamerad Fredrick,

That I address you as 'Kamerad' is no formality, as you are a Man of Truth I am a contributor to the website below, the proprietor of which (Kamerad Karl) has just written the Greatest Book of The Twenty-First Century. He would like to furnish you with copies (of this and of

a book written by Miguel Serrano) free of charge as you are a Deserved Warrior of Truth, but he cannot contact you due to a 'server configuration' issue.

Perhaps you could try and contact him on the e-mail below :

[hermitage75@yahoo.com.au](mailto:hermitage75@yahoo.com.au)

There is also a plan to publish a book in 2013 entitled, 'A New Race' and I believe that Kamerad Karl would like to see if you could write an essay for inclusion.

'Third Reich Pilgrim' and 'MANU – For The Man To Come' :  
<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/2012/12/12/121212/>

Here is an essay written in August 2010 on the same website :  
<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/2010/08/12/hello-world/>

In Kampf.  
Kamerad Steve

\*

**From:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 18, 2012 11:38 PM

**To:** [Steve Hirst](#)

**Subject: RE: Holy Books**

Well Steve, this email surprises – and with my notoriety firmly established, I need to fear only the fear of fear, and ask you: Can we meet for a cup of coffee so that I can look you in the eyes? But bear in mind that for the next three years I am during my bankruptcy without my passport, and hence travelling outside of Australia is out.

I am unaware of the website and even unaware of Miguel Serrano, though a quick Google brought up a sketch of information that seems interesting.

It seems Kamerad Karl has an Australian email and hence there should be no problem in making contact with me – but perhaps it is your good manners that enable you as a facilitator in this matter.

The first link doesn't work for me but the second is active.

I am attaching the latest book from the enemy of truth.

Please advise.

Fredrick

\*

**From:** [Steve Hirst](#)

**Sent:** Wednesday, December 19, 2012 12:47 AM

**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Kamerad,

Yes, of course, those who are maligned, in a world such as this, are, by definition, Good and True.

It would be an honour for me to meet you in person, however I am living in the Zionist Republic of England, so it would not be possible at the moment.

No doubt the book that you sent in the link is full of false rhetoric and logical fallacies with no reference whatsoever to scientific or logistical realities. They rely on the coercion of a dumbed down

public, who are as stupid as they are, and anyone who is too moral for them, too resilient for them, too intelligent for them, they just imprison or bankrupt because they can. This is what I despise about them the most – they don't even possess the wit to be honest so they just resort to sewer rat tactics and in a world such as this, it works.

*'If a comrade of ours opens a Jewish newspaper in the morning and does not find himself vilified there, then he has spent yesterday to no account.'* – Adolf Hitler :

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=15961jyFeWk>

As for myself, I have previously been involved with fighting the mortgage fraud (electronic credit fraudulently created out of thin air and passed off as consideration) and I have also written three essays for the website which appear in abridged form (hopefully the complete versions will appear in 'A New Race next year). Here they are:

<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/2012/07/24/the-two-greatest-geniuses-of-all-time-vs-the-lowest-common-denominator/>

<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/2012/09/04/a-rebuttal-of-jew-economics/>

<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/2012/09/29/the-historian-of-the-twenty-first-century/>

Here is website of truth from another contributor to 'Hermitage Helm Corpus', the publishing arm of the physical books

:

<http://oregoncoug.wordpress.com/>

Yes, Kamerad Karl is based in Australia, if you don't mind, I could send these emails on to him and ask him to try and contact you again, as it seems your email works properly.

In Kampf.

Kamerad Steve

\*

**From:** [Steve Hirst](#)

**Sent:** Thursday, December 20, 2012 11:52 PM

**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**Subject: Fw: Holy Books**

Kamerad Fredrick,

Kamerad Karl has put aside The Holy Books to send to you – do you have a mailing address which they can be sent to?

In Kampf.

Kamerad Steve

\*

**From:** [Karl Young](#)

**Sent:** Sunday, 23 December 2012

9:03 AM

**To:** [toben@toben.biz](mailto:toben@toben.biz)

**Subject: Holy Books**

Greetings Fredrick Toben,

Attempting to configure email addresses here with you in this cyber zone. Please respond if this email has reached you.

In Loyalty,  
Karl Young

\*

**From:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**To:** [Karl Young](#)

**Sent:** Saturday, 22 December 2012 7:31 PM

**Subject: RE: Holy Books**

It has indeed – but I ask myself, since when has it been a problem configuring email addresses?

In all my almost two decades of using emails you are the only one who has posed such a question – even the emails from Nigeria did not have a problem reaching me.

F

\*

**From:** [Karl Young](#)

**Sent:** Sunday, 23 December 2012

2:41 PM

**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Fredrick Toben,

Nothing wrong with your end, it is just the computer I am using at the moment, someone like me attracts many types of cyber bugs and viruses, and I have no technical support to help me in this regard, but I do know men who will witness for me despite my limitations.

I have your website linked at Siddharreich blog, I assumed that you would approve

<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/>

Hermitage Helm Corpus has selected men who deserve complementary book copies of Aryan Holy Writ "Holy Books", men such as yourself, you can read some introductions to such work at Siddharreich. These books comprise no less than the Fifth Gospel, not that the previous gospels are any comparison, they are just a premonition of what has now come.

I have put aside your copies, and if you provide me with a mailing address, then I will send them to you.

If you have any more questions please feel free to ask.

P.S. It was your work that opened my eyes to the lies of the Holocaust many years ago, and I thank you for that.

In Loyalty

Karl

\*

**From:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**To:** [John Kaminski](#)  
**Bcc:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 26 December 2012 10:37 PM  
**Subject:** RE: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews  
[[[[Please advise if you received this email in error and your email address forthwith will be deleted from this list]]]]

**By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews**

\*

**From:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Friday, 28 December 2012 8:52 AM  
**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**Subject:** Re: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews

Fredrick,

I am not interested in your correspondence with others. As I said, I have our Holy Books set aside for you as a complementary gift, if you would like to accept them please provide your mailing address, if not then you can decline the offer.

In Kampf & Loyalty,  
HH,  
Kamerad Karl

\*

**From:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**To:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 27 December 2012 2:31 PM

**Subject:** RE: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews

Karl, sorry about bothering you with broader issues that significantly impact on your narrower ones.

Usually when I make contact with individuals for the first time I try to meet them for a coffee or tea. You have remained silent on this issue – and I wonder why.

That use of the death dialectic in your offer surprises me because it is not life-giving at all but either-or, and this causes me to think perhaps you are not interested in meeting up with me – I can meet you anywhere in Australia but not overseas on account of my passport being with my accountants/trustees in Sydney.

Please advise.

Fredrick

\*

**From:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Friday, 28 December 2012 9:30 AM  
**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**Subject:** Re: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews

Fredrick,

So, I will give you some more time to consider your answer. There will be a period of Aryan Grace where the Holy Books will be distributed privately, if you do not wish to be part of it then you can purchase the Holy Books at a later time. "You can place full trust only in the Dead" - Walter Flex.

"Only those will be saved who fight unto magic death, making themselves worthy of triumph. The archetypal numbers, Orphic numbers, are already on the point of fulfillment, and the Einherier will come to rescue those few, on the edge, at the limits of time with the Wildes Heer and the Ultimate Battalion of Wotan-Kalki." - Miguel Serrano.

In Loyalty & Honor,

20/88

Kamerad Karl

\*

**From:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**To:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 27 December 2012 3:20 PM

**Subject:** RE: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews

Karl, you are avoiding the issue – where do you reside? When can we meet?

Cheers

Fredrick

\*

**From:** [Karl Young](#)  
**Sent:** Sunday, 20 January 2013 11:05 PM  
**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)

**Subject:** Re: By using the term Zionist, you cover up the greater crimes of all Jews

Fredrick

Firstly, there is no cheers with me...

It is Heil Hitler! or HH or 88...Or now to be precise 20/88!

So I am not avoiding the issue, but it is you that are avoiding the great big elephant in the room, whom is the Third Reich Pilgrim!

HH

Karl

\*

**From:** [Steve Hirst](#)  
**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**Sent:** Tue, 8 Jan 2013 00:05:58 - 0000  
**Subject:** Holy Books

Kamerad,

I refer to our e-mail exchange on 19 December 2012 and I would draw your attention again to these two Great Works:

<http://delendaestziobot.wordpress.com/hermitage-helm-corpus/>

I also have some abridged essays published on this website as well as for a future publication, 'A New Race', a book to be released through the same publisher, Hermitage Helm.

I thought you might be interested in an essay I have written called, 'The Heroine Sylvia Stolz', as she is a Fighter for Truth, like yourself.

The essay is to follow in the next e-mail.  
In Kampf.

Kamerad Steve

\*

**From:** [Steve Hirst](#)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 8 January 2013 1:17 AM  
**To:** [Fredrick Toben](#)  
**Subject:** The Heroine Sylvia Stolz

### **The Heroine Sylvia Stolz**

An analogy - an intelligent and spirited woman is playing World Jewry at chess, she is moving her pieces with lightning skill and precision and World Jewry doesn't know what day it is, World Jewry is being made to look a fool, World Jewry is starting to sweat profusely, World Jewry is becoming angrier and angrier because World Jewry believes that it is 'Chosen' to win this game of chess, World Jewry is beginning to cast furtive glances towards its Ziobots Helpers for them to step in immediately and end this unacceptable charade of this intelligent and spirited woman running rings around them in a public spectacle. So, before this Aryan Heroine is able to move in for check mate, the Ziobots Helpers do indeed move in and arrest her on the spot and send her to prison so she is no longer able to play ....

It is the aim of World Jewry to rule the world but World Jewry does not command sufficient intellectual capacity in order to fulfil its insidious agenda therefore the methods they employ are based on lies and murder and lies and intimidation and lies and force and lies and false rhetoric and lies and logical fallacy; the majority of World Jewry's time and energy (mostly the direction of other people's time and energy) goes into dumbing down the masses because, although the masses are stupid, they are still more intelligent than World Jewry so World Jewry must concentrate on providing distractions for the masses, everything they do must be focused on

lowering the powers of reasoning and logic of those they see as the 'opposition'; such tactics are commonly used by those who are highly conscious of their own inadequacies and lack of ability to forward their own agenda on a level playing field. Such unadmirable traits can be found in World Jewry.

Now you may wonder why World Jewry believe themselves to be 'Chosen' to win this game of chess and you may wonder why World Jewry believe themselves to be 'Chosen' to rule the world; the reality is that they don't, because the motivation that lies at the heart of World Jewry is a sick and twisted hatred of all that is pure and true, The Jews absolutely detest intellectual and physical prowess, they hate The Aryan Spirit and, most of all, these qualities manifest themselves in The German People and that is why The Jews hate The German People the most. So, to justify their sick and depraved actions to themselves, The Jews convince themselves, nay, they delude themselves, that they are 'The Chosen People' which enables them to carry out their heinous acts; in reality it is The People of The Holy Land, that is the Holy Land of spiritual, physical and historical reality who are the real Chosen People and The Holy Land is, and was always, Germany. So the Jews invert everything, they turn everything upside down and inside out, that is to say that they accuse The Germans of everything that they themselves commit, they turn a giant mirror, which reflects all the evil deeds of World Jewry onto The Germans and, by dumbing down the masses, hope that this reflection remains (so whenever The Jews accuse others of some diabolical deed, you must invert it yourselves, back onto The Jews); when a German Heroine stands in a Zionist courtroom with the intention of smashing that mirror, the Hatred of World Jewry rises to the surface because World Jewry looks across the courtroom and sees everything that they don't possess ; intellect, spirit, courage and truth in this one woman. That is why, in this World of Zion, the best people, the people who are True and Just, the people who speak of Truth and of Justice are isolated, persecuted, imprisoned and despised because these people represent the antithesis of World Jewry, these people are actually holding a mirror up themselves which reveals, for all who want to see, the pathetic inadequacies of World Jewry And Its Helpers, thus causing the hatred of World Jewry to burn even more.

... the intelligent and spirited woman in the analogy above is The Heroine Sylvia Stoltz – one just needs to substitute a chess tournament for a Zionist courtroom and a game of chess for The Greatest Lie Of All Time.

Sylvia Stoltz was one of the defenders of Ernst Zundel in his trial for so-called 'Holocaust Denial'- the ludicrous concept of so-called 'law' where one can be tried and convicted for speaking the truth and where one can be tried and convicted for 'denying' what never took place; I hereby proclaim that I deny that the land speed record for mammals was set by an elephant due to the fact that I believe that there are numerous mammals that can run quicker than an elephant and I also don't believe that elephants can reach speeds of two hundred miles an hour. You see, it's really all down to which truths are sensitive to perhaps calling into question World Jewry's insidious agenda to rule the world, aided and abetted by their crimes and their lies, and which ones are not. Sylvia Stoltz was also charged herself with 'Holocaust Denial', as well as speaking of other truths which are 'not allowed' and subsequently imprisoned for three and a half years and disbarred for five years.



**Sylvia Stoltz**

Now, the purpose of this essay is not to point out the actual details of The Lies of The Holocaust, it is not to point out in detail the lies of the soap and the lampshades, the lies related to Jewish post-war population figures, the lies relating to the real origin of the 'six million' figure, it is not to point out details regarding the absolute scientific, logistical and mathematical impossibility of these Filthy Jewish Lies, it is not to point out all the so-called eyewitness accounts of Jews later proven to be fake, it is not to point out the real holiday dormitory nature of the camps,

it is not even to draw attention to the real Holocaust of World War Two, those which were carried out against The German People such as the air raids and the mass starvation. The purpose of this essay is to contrast The True Aryan Spirit manifested within Sylvia Stoltz and the pathetic, depraved gutter tactics of World Jewry.

Suffice to say that, whenever the true facts of 'The Holocaust' are raised, World Jewry just relies on the same old methods of blanket, all-encompassing 'rulings' to compensate for their lack of brain power; '*you can't question this, you can't question that, there is not going to be a level playing field*' – they don't possess the wit for a fair exchange of facts and information so they just resort to the tactics of a ten year old child and deny any exchange of such. 'Hate Laws' regarding 'Hate Speech' are nothing but a front to ensure that Truths are rarely spoken. I mean, even if I was 'evil', I'd rather not have any power at all, if it meant resorting, nay, stooping down to these tactics. We are all ruled over by cretins but they are 'evil' cretins. Suffice to say also that The Holocaust Lie is the major factor which allows World Jewry to get away with all the sick and barbaric acts they carry out as prescribed in The Protocols of The Learned Elders of Zion and The Talmud – somebody sees a programme on their Jew controlled box in the corner of their living room (known as a television set) which is all about 'German History' and they immediately think, 'Holocaust, Holocaust, Evil Nazis, Murderers !' and therefore they never object to all the said sick and barbaric acts.

They also state that Sylvia Stoltz was guilty of 'inciting the public' – what they really mean by this term is that they believe that it is the duty of 'the public' to bow down to World Jewry ('evil' cretins) and that any information (ie any truth) that the public may become aware of could well have a detrimental effect on the inclination of the public to carry out such 'duties' so, therefore, any such information (ie any truths) must be kept from the public and woe betide anybody who attempts to draw the public's attention to these truths. So, in this regard, it is actually the duty of people to turn these Jewish Lies back onto The Jews (to invert) and, indeed, to 'incite the public'! Let's all incite the public! Let's all incite the public with The Truth! Heil to those who incite the public!

Neither is the purpose of this essay to give a blow by blow account of actual

(sham) court proceedings with specific dates, events and names as it is, rather, an overriding instruction of general themes, motives, agendas and The Defiance of The Heroine.

*'In the defense brief, I had stated that in defending Mr Zundel, I would attack the 'Offenkundigkeit des Holocausts' (Manifest Obviousness of The Holocaust) as dogma put forth by Jewish organisations. I said I would prove with objective and scientifically verifiable evidence that this 'Manifest Obviousness' has been illusory from its very inception.'* - Sylvia Stoltz

Do you see what World Jewry has to resort to, in order to maintain its positions of power? They are so lacking in any kind of intellectual security that they must stoop to the level of using the term, 'Manifest Obviousness', the tactics of a ten year old child. The Heroine would have completely destroyed them with a combination of scientific and logistical evidence and truth after truth after truth. She would have made clear to all who are willing to listen that the only 'Manifest Obviousness' is the despicable lies and tactics of World Jewry. So here is The Heroine, about to demonstrate utterly how pathetic this 'concept' of 'Manifest Obviousness' is, but how does The Ziobot Judge react? What kind of brilliant tactics do they utilise? They turn her microphone off to stop her words being heard! So, reader of this essay, how does that make you feel about the kind of filthy, mindless cretins that rule over you? So, reader of this essay, what is your opinion of World Jewry now? Perpetual victims still? Or have you changed your mind?

*'There is nothing they would have liked better than to see me make myself look ridiculous with absurd arguments for which there is no documentary or forensic evidence. However, they now realise that this is not going to happen. They know that the arguments of the defense are factually irrefutable.'* - Sylvia Stoltz

Invert the above statement; it is The Ziobots who make themselves look ridiculous with their absurd arguments and their absurd, invented, criminal procedures for which there is no evidence or justification for whatsoever. The Ziobots know that their stance is one of absolute criminality through and through. The Ziobot Judge labels The Heroine's Truth as a 'conspiracy theory' (the old 'fallback' tactic of the cretin) which is 'eccentric and groundless' ('eccentric' being a word used by Ziobots to describe people with

character and intellect and a mind of their own, ie far too much for The Ziobots to cope with, and 'groundless' being a term which you must invert to see who really commands The Grounds of Truth). The Heroine would have made them look ridiculous.

Imagine a murder trial; the defendant stands there accused, however evidence exists that they weren't the defendant's fingerprints found at the murder scene, evidence exists that places the defendant hundreds of miles away in another country at the exact time of the murder and evidence also exists pertaining to the real murderer – however, the court decides to ignore all of this because it has developed a procedural system which involves disallowing all evidentiary motions as 'abuse of court procedure'! Imagine that! Evidence is not allowed and The Truth is ignored because it gets in the way of the agenda of World Jewry and its made up 'court procedure'. This is a criminal act in itself. The Jews are so stupid they're not even capable of winning a game that was and is set up by them to begin with and rigged right from the very start in their favour. Sylvia Stoltz attempted to introduce evidence relating to the obvious lies of The Holocaust and the real nature of The Jews into the proceedings but she was refused permission! World Jewry also tried to declare The Heroine's conduct as 'unprofessional' which is an invalid motion (also, invert – her conduct was professional), and it also tried to 'supervise the defense' which is also an invalid motion (also, invert – the truth does not require 'supervision'). This is yet another example of World Jewry appealing to the lowest common denominator. You see, whatever false terms and false forms and false procedures they introduce, they will always be the criminals in the Judgement of The Almighty – and in The Judgement of The Almighty, Sylvia Stoltz will always be the Heroine.

The Oath of a Ziobot Judge: *'I swear to the best of my limited intellectual capacity and my limited capacity for reason and logic and lack of conscience, to give corrupt and blanket judgements without any regard whatsoever for the concepts of truth and justice and to pursue no ends except to further the servile and insidious agenda of World Jewry.'*

*'If my actions bring a little more light into this dark hour for Germany, then I will gladly go to prison! It does not bother me that I am officially ridiculed*

*and insulted by this despicable court and atrocious government ... My high and mighty judges, you are convicting yourselves, not me.'*

- Sylvia Stoltz

This statement reveals how Sylvia Stoltz moves closer and closer to perdition in the sense of her losing her freedom (notwithstanding the fact that the whole world is nothing more than an open-air prison anyway, she would be substituting this for life inside an actual building with actual walls and bars and she would have understood this) yet it also reveals how she moves further and further away from perdition in the spiritual and the truthful sense – 'Be True To Thyself'. You see also how World Jewry, not being capable of competing with The Heroine on a level playing field, resort to such pathetic tactics such as 'ridicule' and 'insults' which is rendered even more ludicrous due to the fact that there is nothing to ridicule and nothing to insult! Despicable and atrocious indeed. May God speed the day when Sylvia Stoltz is convicting them in The Court of Truth.

No level playing field remember, no level playing field under any circumstances, truthful historical research must never be allowed, the mindless cretins just cannot cope with that – so they removed her from the case! She's making them look foolish, she's running rings round them and they can't cope so they order her to be removed from the case! They say that she tried to 'sabotage proceedings' which is actually quite an accurate description in that their criminal, contrived proceedings were indeed sabotaged by her truth! Yet, at a later hearing, she turns up anyway! She turns up anyway and describes the court as a tool of foreign domination and the Jews as an enemy people! Heil The Truth, and the consequences be damned! More truth, more truth, Zion can't cope, so what do they do? They remove her from court! She was physically removed from the courtroom by two female police officers! She knows that it is acts such as this that make more of a lasting impression regarding the eventual triumph of the truth and of justice. One must cause trouble! One must cause trouble for them! [...] in Munich there is a corporal who has been causing trouble. They say he has an almost hypnotic power ...]. Sylvia Stoltz knows that the whole judicial system is rigged from start to finish and from top to bottom so she speaks the truth outright and ignores their insidious and criminal

'procedures'; and speak the truth she did, despite all their efforts to stop her, she just spoke the truth, as much as she could, stating that it is presently nothing but a Talmudic Inquisition where anyone who brings attention to the real nature of Judaism (i.e. its inherent 'evil' nature) can be punished, that, despite Judaism being the root cause of the situation the world is in today, people are not even allowed to criticise The Jews, she states that Germany stands under the yoke of World Jewry and that following World War Two, the real criminals took control of the world and that National Socialism stands for what is good and enduring in The German Spirit. Truth!! Truth!! Truth!! Heil Sylvia Stolz!!

*'And you, my high and mighty judges, will never again experience inner peace ... Your depiction of National Socialism as a criminal system will see to that. You are willing accomplices to the brainwashing and degradation of The German people ... Adolf Hitler accurately recognised the Jewish Problem, the malevolent power of The Jews in certain respects ... Yes, I share the values of National Socialism!' - Sylvia Stolz*

I doubt whether these creatures masquerading as judges would ever concern themselves with inner peace as far as their own lack of morality is concerned as hatred of things pure and true inherently possesses no morality whatsoever, however they may find it hard to come by inner peace of a different kind come The Rise of a Fourth Reich ... they may find themselves on secure ground now as they dispense their lies and false procedures in their safe and comfortable courtrooms. For now. They are currently willing accomplices because, for the moment, power lies with them. For the moment – and, for the moment, Sylvia Stolz is victimised and imprisoned for speaking the truth about the real nature of The Jews and the truth of The God-Man. For the moment ...

*'Germans are not inclined to chastise others.' - Sylvia Stolz*

The 'Holocaust Cudgel', as the Heroine referred to it as, has done its job here, The Lies have done their job here.

*'Whatever happens to me, prison has merely strengthened my conviction.' - Sylvia Stolz*

Relate the above statement to the words of The God-Man: *'I may thank the innumerable party functionaries; I may thank, above all, the countless anonymous idealists, the fighters of our formations who have proven in the long*

*years of persecution that The German, when put under pressure, only becomes tougher!' - Adolf Hitler  
'What about you? Are you Germans? 'German' stands for honour and steadfastness! Think of Deutsche Treue! Nobody can call what is going on in this court as 'honourable'. In this court, the only 'justice' is inspired by The Talmud!' - Sylvia Stolz (to the Bench).*

In The Master of Bayreuth's 'Die Walkure', Brunnhilde sacrifices her own immortality in an effort to save Siegmund ...

... and a final word: 'Stolz', in German, means proud.



Sylvia Stolz - Aryan Heroine

\*

**Heil Defiance!!**

**Heil Veracity!!**

**Let Vengeance Blaze!!**

**Heil The Spirit of Sylvia Stolz!!**

**Heil The Daughter of Truth!!**

\*

**From: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2013**

**2:53 AM**

**To: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**Subject: Re: Fw: Holy Books**

Steve, I am amazed how this Kamerad Karl refuses to answer my request about meeting him.

What is all this secrecy/anonymity about?

Please advise.

Fredrick

\*

**From: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**To: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Sent: Thu, 10 Jan 2013 23:38:53 - 0000**

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Fredrick,

I would say that there is no real secrecy involved, in that the book 'Third Reich Pilgrim' is written autobiographically and

one can read all about Kamerad Karl and the truths of the world and of the Third Reich – I would certainly recommend taking up Kamerad Karl's offer of a complimentary copy of this and of the Miguel Serrano book. Perhaps you could sent another e-mail once you have read these Holy Books?

Steve

\*

**From: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Sent: Saturday, January 12, 2013**

**12:12 AM**

**To: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Steve, why not let me know why Karl will not divulge where he lives in Australia? Why can't he answer my simple request?

Please advise.

Fredrick

\*

**From: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**To: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Sent: Sun, 13 Jan 2013 20:55:02 - 0000**

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Fredrick,

I would state that all is revealed in The Holy Books.

Steve

\*

**From: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013**

**4:51 AM**

**To: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Sorry, Steve, I do not go along with such secrecy nonsense. Why the mystery?

It's a simple request - or does this Karl fellow not exist?

Please explain.

Fredrick

\*

**From: [Steve Hirst](#)**

**Sent: Wednesday, 16 January 2013**

**9:47 AM**

**To: [Fredrick Toben](#)**

**Subject: Re: Holy Books**

Fredrick,

I can understand you harbouring a certain amount of reticence regarding Karl's address – I have corresponded with him for a while now and know nothing of him personally but the book he wrote contains the truths of this world and of history. He must have valid reasons regarding what information he discloses. I would certainly take up his offer of both of the books to be sent to you.

Steve

\*